

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

WAYNE F. BAILEY, JR., ¹	§
	§ Nos. 179/180, 2012
Petitioner Below,	§ Consolidated
Appellant,	§
v.	§ Court Below—Family Court
	§ of the State of Delaware, in and
	§ for Sussex County
KIM WALKER,	§ File No. CS04-02974
	§
Respondent Below,	§
Appellee.	§
<hr/>	
WAYNE F. BAILEY, JR.,	§
	§
Petitioner Below,	§
Appellant,	§
v.	§ Court Below—Family Court
	§ of the State of Delaware, in and
	§ for Sussex County
KIM WALKER,	§ File No. CS04-02974
	§
Respondent Below,	§
Appellee.	§

Submitted: April 27, 2012

Decided: May 1, 2012

Before **BERGER, JACOBS** and **RIDGELY**, Justices.

ORDER

This 1st day of May 2012, it appears to the Court that:

¹ The Court sua sponte assigned pseudonyms to the parties in both appeals by Orders dated April 5, 2012. Supr. Ct. R. 7(d).

(1) The petitioner-appellant, Wayne F. Bailey, Jr. (the “appellant”), has petitioned this Court, pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 42, to accept an appeal from three interlocutory orders of the Family Court dated March 28, 2012—specifically, the order granting emergency interim relief, and the orders denying the motions to relinquish jurisdiction and for a continuance.

(2) The appellant filed his application for certification to take an interlocutory appeal in the Family Court on or about April 4, 2012. On April 18, 2012, the Family Court denied the application for certification on the ground that the requirements of Supreme Court Rule 42 had not been met.

(3) Applications for interlocutory review are addressed to the sound discretion of this Court. In the exercise of its discretion, the Court has concluded that the appellant’s application for interlocutory review fails to meet the requirements of Supreme Court Rule 42 and, therefore, should be refused.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the interlocutory appeal is REFUSED.²

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Jack B. Jacobs
Justice

² The appellant’s request to stay the Family Court orders is hereby denied as moot.